

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/973,224	10/09/2001	Gunter Krautkramer	WEB 0035 IA	7431
7590 01/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Killworth, Gottman, Hagan & Schaeff, L.L.P.			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA	
Suite 500			r <del>-</del>	
One Dayton Centre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dayton, OH 45402-2023			3727	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/973,224	KRAUTKRAMER, GUNTER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Niki M. Eloshway	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	of the certified copies not ic priority under 35 U.S.C st sentence of the specific prisional application has ic priority under 35 U.S.C ne specification or in an A	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. c. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/973,224

Art Unit: 3727

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 12, 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitz (EP 0,529,383 A2) in view of Hertrampf (U.S. 6,021,912). Schmitz discloses the claimed invention except for the sealing olive. Hertrampf teaches that it is known to provide a closure with a sealing olive (see element 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the closure of Schmitz with a sealing olive, as taught by Hertrampf, in order to properly align the closure on the container when being applied thereto.

Schmitz teaches a plastic closure, shown in figures 1 and 2, having a top plate at 2, a substantially cylindrical peripheral portion at 1, and a substantially cylindrical sealing strip at 3. The peripheral portion at 1 has a cylindrical bead at 4, and a screw thread at 1a. The sealing strip extends

Application/Control Number: 09/973,224

Art Unit: 3727

axially, as shown in figure 1, and engages the outer edge a portion of the outer wall, as shown in figure 2. The weakened annular portion is shown at 9.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitz in view of Hertrampf, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dai (U.S. 6,044,995). The modified closure of Schmitz discloses the claimed invention except for the tamper band. Dai teaches that it is known to provide a closure with a tamper band (see element 40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified closure of Schmitz with a tamper band, as taught by Dai, in order to indicate whether the container has been previously opened.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.
- 7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly to (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is (703) 308-1606. The examiner is in

Application/Control Number: 09/973,224

Art Unit: 3727

the office on Tuesdays and Fridays. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-5648.

Niki M. Eloshway/pme

Patent Examiner January 25, 2004